the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are explained by the different trans atoms). This fact explains the shortening of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(21)$ bond distance [1.991 (3) $\AA$, trans to $\mathrm{O}(8)$ ] with respect to 2.005 (3) $\AA$ observed for $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(10)$ [trans $\mathrm{O}(1)]$. The Cu ion is also weakly linked to $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\text {i }}\right)$ of another cation $\left[\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(8^{i}\right) 2.781(3) \AA\right.$, symmetry code: (i) $2-x, 1-y, 1-z]$, being located at the opposite side from $\mathrm{O}(31)$ (Fig. 1).
The salicylaldehydato ligand is not planar. The phenyl ring is planar, with the largest deviation from the mean plane being 0.014 (6) $\AA ; O(8)$ and $O(1)$ deviate from this phenyl plane by 0.035 (4) and -0.086 (4) $\AA$ respectively. The dihedral angle between the phenyl ring and the $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(8), \mathrm{N}(10), \mathrm{N}(21)$ plane is $18.6(3)^{\circ}$. The 1,10 -phenanthroline ligand is planar with the dihedral angle between this plane and the $O(1), O(8)$ $\mathrm{N}(10), \mathrm{N}(21)$ plane equal to $2.8(3)^{\circ}$.

The mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ (phenanthroline) distance of 1.998 (7) $\AA$ is similar to that of 2.01 (1) $\AA$ observed in aqua( 1,10 -phenanthroline)(thiamine pyrophosphate)copper(II) dinitrate hydrate (Aoki \& Yamasaki, 1980), while it is shorter than those obtained in the trans-bis(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II) complex [mean value 2.05 (1) $\AA$; Boys, Escobar \& Martinez-Carrera, 1981].
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#### Abstract

Ce}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\left\{\mathrm{P}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}}^{3}\right]\right.\), $M_{r}=453.57$, monoclinic, $C c, a=14.017$ (4), $b=9.351$ (3), $c=$ 16.348 (6) $\AA, \beta=103.92$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}, V=2080$ (3) $\AA^{3}, Z=$ $4, D_{x}=1.448$ (3) $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, Mo $K \alpha, \lambda\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0.70930 \AA$, $\mu=23.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=916, T=296 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.024$ for 1232 reflections $\left[F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]$. The structure consists of Ce centered monomolecular units in which the Ce atom is coordinated in a pentahapto fashion to three cyclopentadiene rings and to the phosphorus atom of the trimethylphosphine molecule. The average $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}$ distance is 2.82 (4) $\AA$ and the $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{P}$ distance is 3.072 (4) $\AA$.


Introduction. Recently we reported the structure of $\left[\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ (Brennan \& Zalkin, 1985) as part of a study of the relative affinity of Lewis bases
toward $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{U}$. A parallel experiment using $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ is being performed to study what effect a different metal atom has on the molecular structure and chemistry. Interligand contacts influence the ability of a ligand to coordinate to a metal ion and must be considered when comparing ligand basicities. As part of this study we report here the X-ray structure of $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$, which is isomorphous with the uranium complex.

Experimental. Yellow air-sensitive needles of the phosphine complex were prepared by reacting [Ce$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ], isolated from the reaction of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ with three equivalents of $\mathrm{NaCH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ in tetrahydrofuran, with $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in diethyl ether and crystallizing from diethyl ether at 253 K . Crystals were
© 1987 International Union of Crystallography
sealed inside quartz capillaries because of their reactivity in the atmosphere, and no measured density is reported for the same reason. Crystal $0.18 \times 0.18 \times$ 0.17 mm with six faces; modified Picker automatic diffractometer, graphite monochromator; cell dimensions from 20 reflections, $21<2 \theta<36^{\circ}$; analytical absorption correction, range $1.37-1.54 ; \max .(\sin \theta) / \lambda$ $=0.54 \AA^{-1}, h-15$ to $15, k 0$ to $10, l-17$ to 17 ; three standard reflections, $<2 \%$ variation in intensities from average, intensities adjusted accordingly; 2878 data, 1366 unique (including 134, $F^{2}<\sigma$ ), $R_{\text {int }}=0.021$; refinements started with parameters of the uranium isomorph (Brennan \& Zalkin, 1985); refined on $F, 206$ parameters, non-methyl hydrogen atoms in calculated positions with isotropic thermal parameters, methyl hydrogen atoms not included, anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms; $R=0.032$ for 1366 reflections ( 0.024 for 1232 for which $F^{2}>\sigma$ ), $w R=0.026, S=1.0 ; w=[\sigma(F)]^{-2}, p=0.04 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{cal}-$ culation of $\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$; max. (shift $/ \sigma$ ) $=0.03$, max. correction for extinction $1 \%$ of $F, F_{\text {corr }}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}(1+3 \cdot 1$ $\times 10^{-8} I$; max. and min. of $\Delta F$ synthesis 0.18 and $-0.24 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$; atomic $f$ for neutral $\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{P}$ and C , and spherical bonded H from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); local unpublished programs and ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).

In an effort to determine the absolute orientation of the molecule in this polar structure, the full unaveraged data set was used to refine both settings, but the results showed a small difference in the $R$ factors. On the assumption that the crystal was twinned with both orientations present in equal amounts, the data were averaged ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.021$ ). The $f^{\prime \prime}$ term of the anomalous dispersion was set to zero, and the $f^{\prime}$ term was applied as usual.

A subsequent least-squares calculation, in which the $f^{\prime \prime}$ term for Ce was a refinable parameter and the unaveraged data set was used, resulted in an $f^{\prime \prime}$ for Ce of 0.6 (2) e vs the International Tables (1974) value of 2.632 e. This translates into an estimated twinning ratio of approximately $60-40 \%$. The positional parameters from this computation are within statistics unchanged from the values given in Table 1.* Fig. 1 is an ORTEP drawing of the title compound.

Discussion. The complex is monomolecular in the crystalline state and consists of a Ce atom coordinated to the three methylcyclopentadienyl groups in a pentahapto bonding mode and to the P atom of the trimethylphosphine molecule in a distorted trigonal

[^0]Table 1. Atomic parameters

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| Ce | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0.33939 (4) | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| P | 0.2804 (3) | $0 \cdot 1697$ (4) | 0.41521 (26) | 4.79 |
| C(1) | 0.3852 (13) | 0.6059 (20) | 0.4224 (12) | 9.01 |
| C(2) | 0.3989 (9) | $0 \cdot 5285$ (16) | 0.3429 (10) | $5 \cdot 19$ |
| C(3) | 0.4454 (11) | 0.3971 (19) | 0.3426 (12) | 5.83 |
| C(4) | 0.4500 (16) | 0.3631 (19) | 0.2595 (16) | $6 \cdot 10$ |
| C(5) | 0.4063 (12) | 0.4749 (24) | 0.2089 (10) | 6.56 |
| C(6) | 0.3754 (15) | 0.5754 (23) | 0.2638 (16) | $6 \cdot 32$ |
| C(7) | $0 \cdot 1115$ (11) | 0.6595 (16) | $0 \cdot 1293$ (11) | 7.20 |
| C(8) | $0 \cdot 1026$ (13) | $0.5608(23)$ | 0.2020 (13) | 5.07 |
| C(9) | 0.1434 (10) | 0.5774 (16) | 0.2873 (9) | 4.82 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 1158$ (11) | 0.4677 (16) | 0.3350 (8) | $5 \cdot 32$ |
| C(11) | 0.0595 (17) | 0.3735 (23) | 0.2753 (15) | 6.48 |
| C(12) | 0.0516 (11) | 0.4258 (21) | $0 \cdot 1973$ (12) | $5 \cdot 72$ |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 1557$ (14) | $0 \cdot 2923$ (18) | 0.0147 (10) | 7.46 |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 1926$ (16) | 0.2143 (20) | 0.0931 (14) | 7.14 |
| C(15) | $0 \cdot 1428$ (8) | $0 \cdot 1277$ (11) | 0.1423 (8) | 5.45 |
| C(16) | $0 \cdot 2074$ (12) | 0.0492 (12) | $0 \cdot 2044$ (8) | 7.27 |
| C(17) | $0 \cdot 3026$ (10) | 0.0699 (14) | 0.1941 (10) | 7.09 |
| C(18) | $0 \cdot 2958$ (10) | $0 \cdot 1630$ (16) | 0.1259 (9) | 6.88 |
| C(19) | $0 \cdot 3296$ (14) | 0.2547 (22) | 0.5212 (10) | 7.78 |
| C(20) | 0.3671 (10) | 0.0201 (16) | 0.4248 (9) | 8.51 |
| C(21) | 0.1661 (10) | 0.0946 (18) | 0.4348 (10) | 9.74 |



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of the title compound; thermal ellipsoids are at $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 2. Selected distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{P}$ | 3.072 (4) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 2.757 (18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2.875 (13) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.829 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 2.844 (16) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.840 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.779 (20) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 2.837 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 2.751 (15) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 2.807 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 2.797 (20) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{1}{ }^{*}$ | 2.55 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 2.896 (19) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ | 2.59 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 2.828 (13) | $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{3}$ | 2.54 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 2.858 (13) | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.880 (18) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.817 (23) | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.836 (13) |
| $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 2.824 (16) | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.847 (13) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{1}$ | 97.3 | $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{3}$ | 117.8 |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ | 98.8 | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 98.9 (8) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{3}$ | 96.7 | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 98.2 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Cp} 1_{1}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ | 118.8 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $106 \cdot 2$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{1}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}_{3}$ | 118.2 |  |  |

pyramidal arrangement with the $P$ atom.at the apex and cyclopentadienyl ring centers on the base; the plane through the cyclopentadienyl centers is $0.34 \AA$ below the Ce atom. The angles about the Ce atom are given in Table 2. The Ce atom is 3.072 (4) $\AA$ from the P atom and 2.54 to $2.58 \AA$ from the least-squares planes of the cyclopentadienyl rings; the average $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{C}$ distance is $2.82 \pm 0.04 \AA$. There are no observed close contacts between the phosphine ligand and the C p rings.

Although there are a number of similar trivalent lanthanide structures in the literature $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ (Rogers, Bynum \& Atwood, 1980), $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \quad$ (Rogers, Atwood, Emad, Sikora \& Rauch, 1981), and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}$ $\mathrm{Nd}\left(\mathrm{CNC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)$ (Burns \& Baldwin, 1976), this represents the first structurally characterized organometallic tertiary phosphine complex of Ce .

Although the title complex and $\left[\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ] are crystallographically isomorphous there is a significant structural difference in the two molecules. The angles made by the four ligands about the metal atom are close to being tetrahedral in the U complex [average $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cp}$ angles $112(7)^{\circ}$; average $\mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cp}$ angle 106.4 (9) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] and trigonal pyramidal in the Ce complex [average $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}$ angle $118(1)^{\circ}$; average $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}$ angle $\left.98.1(1)^{\circ}\right]$. These Ce angles are within a degree of the comparable angles in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ (Wasserman, Zozulin, Moody, Ryan \& Salazar, 1983).

The published $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and $\mathrm{Ce}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ionic radii (Shannon \& Prewitt, 1969) show the U'II radius to be $0.03 \AA$ larger than the $\mathrm{Ce}^{111}$ radius. Assuming a purely ionic model,
this structure indicates the reverse to be true. The average $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Cp}$ distance $[2.56$ (3) $\AA$ ] is larger than the average $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cp}$ distance $[2.52$ (2) $\AA$ ] by an amount that is barely significant. However, the $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{P}$ distance [ 3.072 (4) $\AA$ ] is a full $0.1 \AA$ larger than the U-P distance $[2.972$ (6) $\AA$ ]. Further structural studies are currently under way to ascertain the nature of this discrepancy.

Helpful discussions with Dr John Brennan and Professor R. A. Andersen are appreciated. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.
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#### Abstract

Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right], M_{r}=629.66\), monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{\mathrm{l}} / n, \quad a=14.739$ (6), $\quad b=18.102$ (6), $\quad c=$ 9.445 (5) $\AA, \beta=96.11(4)^{\circ}, V=2505 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}$ $=1.668, D_{m}=1.651 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Мо $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71069 \AA$, $\mu=56.82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=1240, T=295 \mathrm{~K}$, final $R$ $=0.055$ for 3139 observed reflections. The $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)$ atoms of the naphthyl ligands and the centers of


the double bonds $C(26)=C(27)$ and $C(29)=C(30)$ lie in a plane around the Pt atom. The two naphthyl ligands are nearly perpendicular ( 83.3 and $81.6^{\circ}$ ) to this plane and oriented anti to one another ( $E$ conformation).

Introduction. In compounds of the type cis-bis(phenyl)bis(ligand)platinum(II) (1) the two phenyl rings
© 1987 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom parameters, deviations from least-squares planes, distances and angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 43451 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

